Wilson, Tabatha

From: Gilliam, Allen

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 10:52 AM **To:** fayetteville denise georgiou

Cc: Billy.Ammons@CH2M.com; Fuller, Kim; Wilson, Tabatha; Kaelin, Cynthia; Ramsey, David;

Schluterman, Amy

Subject: AR0020010_Fayettevilles May 2014 Annual Pretreatment Report with ADEQ reply_

20140609

Attachments: 2013 FYVL Annl IPP Rpt to ADEQ.pdf; Pretreatment Attachments '14.doc

Denise,

Fayetteville's May 2014 annual Pretreatment Report was electronically received, reviewed, deemed complete and compliant with the reporting requirements in 40 CFR 403.12(i). No further action is deemed necessary at this time.

Notes to consider:

- Fayetteville's West Side WWTP's permit only requires semi-annual influent/effluent sampling/analysis/reporting for the toxics in CFR 122, Appendix D, Table III. It is fine Fayetteville sampled/analyzed and reported these quarterly, but this office wanted to ensure the City was aware of the reduced sampling frequency for this WWTP; and
- 2) Since Fayetteville's Pretreatment Program covers all of the City's sewage collection system and wastewater treatment plants, it is not necessary to send in two separate Pretreatment Performance Summaries (a.k.a. annual Pretreatment reports). If and when the City's West Plant does receive Significant Industrial contributions, its information can still be reported on one report (see attached for latest form). Only the influent/effluent summary sheet needs to be reported separately for each WWTP.

Thank you for the timely report remaining in compliance with the Federal Pretreatment Regulations.

Sincerely,

Allen Gilliam
ADEQ State Pretreatment Coordinator
501.682.0625

E/NPDES/NPDES/Pretreatment/Reports

From: Denise.Georgiou@CH2M.com [mailto:Denise.Georgiou@CH2M.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 9:17 AM

To: Gilliam, Allen

Cc: lhyke@fayetteville-ar.gov; Billy.Ammons@CH2M.com

Subject: AR0020010, AFIN 72-00781 and AR0050288, AFIN 72-01033 - Fayetteville 2013 Annual Pretreatment Report

Mr. Gilliam,

In accordance with NPDES Permits AR0020010, AFIN 72-00781 and AR0050288, AFIN 72-01033, the City of Fayetteville Annual Pretreatment Report is attached.

Sincerely,

Denise Georgiou

Industrial Pretreatment Coordinator Fayetteville WWTPs & CH2M HILL 1400 N. Fox Hunter Road Fayetteville, AR 72701 Tel 479.443.3292/Fax 479.443.5613 Denise.Georgiou@ch2m.com



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



May 28, 2014

Allen Gilliam, Engineer / Water Division Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

RE: City of Fayetteville 2013 Annual Pretreatment Report

(Permit No. AR0020010, AFIN 72-00781 and AR0050288, AFIN 72-01033)

Dear Mr. Gilliam,

In accordance with NPDES Permits AR0020010, AFIN 72-00781 and AR0050288, AFIN 72-01033, the Annual Pretreatment Report is enclosed.

Please do not hesitate to contact Denise Georgiou at 479-443-3292 or by email at Denise.Georgiou@ch2m.com if you have any questions.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Sincerely,

City of Fayetteville

Lynn Hyke

Lynn Hyke

Construction and Contract Manager

Encl: AR0020010, AFIN 72-00781, Noland

AR0050288, AFIN 72-01033, West Side

MONITORING RESULTS FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT REPORTING YEAR: January 2013 TO December 2013 TREATMENT PLANT: City of Fayetteville Paul R. Noland WWTP NPDES PERMIT #AR0020010 AVERAGE POTW FLOW: 5.6 MGD % IU FLOW: 16.6%

All influent and effluent samples collected 01/23/13 except mercury collected 1/22/13	Toluene (3)	Phenol (3)	bis(2-ethylhex yl)phthalate (3)	Flow, MGD	Thallium	Beryllium	Phenois	Molybdenum	Arsenic	Cyanide	Chromium	Zinc	Silver	Selenium	Nickel	Mercury	Lead	Copper	Cadmium	Antimony		(Total)	CYANIDE and PHENOLS	METALS.
ffluent san		:	*8	1	N/A	11.83	N/A	27.74	30.82	18.72	676.51	300.00	44.34	11.16	235.34	0.03	39.02	684.66	21.2	N/A		(2)	(Total) (µg/L)	МАНС
neles colle				3.91	0	0	170	0	0.76	0	0	100	0	0	7.3	0	1.8	34	1.5	0	01/23/13*	Date		Z
cted 01/2				8.66	0	0	67	0	0.75	0	0	45	1,3	0	6.2	0.013	Ξ	15	0	0	04/12/13**	Date	Once	FLUENT D
16 3/13 excer	13	12		5.40	0	0	120	0	1.2	0	51	120	0	0	7.2	0.016	3.1	81	0.65	0	04/12/13** 07/30/13***	Date	(µg/L) Once/quarter	INFLUENT DATES SAMPLED
of mercury c				4.62	0	0	120	0	1.1	0	0	89	0	0	6.3	0.015	1.7	31	0	0	10/23/13****	Date		PLED
Ollected 1/	;			ŀ	N/A	5.9	N/A	N/A	342.4	5.8	1255.02	372.9	20.0	5.6	422.02	0.01	18.7	41.1	7.0	N/A		(2)	level/ limit	WQ
77/13				3.91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	3.9	0	0.50	6.0	0	0	01/23/13*	Date		<u> </u>
				12.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	4.4	0	0	2.5	0	0	04/12/13**	Date	(µg/L) Once/quarter	EFFLUENT DATES SAMPLED
			28	3.92	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	5.2	0	0	8.4	0	0	07/30/13***	Date	/L) Juarter	TES SAMPLI
				4.75	0	0	65	0	0.63	0	0	20	0	0	4.4	0	0	6.9	0	0	10/23/13****	Date		ED
3	E			1	0.5	0.5	5	ſ	0.5	10	10	20	0.5	.5	0.5	0.005	0.5	0.5	0.5	60	Ξ	(μg/L)	EPA MQL	
624	625		625		200.8	200.8	420.1	200.8	200.8	SM4500-CN C,E	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	245.7	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	(1)	Used	EPA Method	LABORATORY ANALYSIS
10	10	10	10	:	0.5	0.5	5	8	0.5	10 & 5	10	20	0.5	5	0.5	0.0050	0.5	0.5	0.5	60		Achieved (µg/L)	Detection Level	ALYSIS

^{**} All influent and effluent samples collected 04/12/13 except mercury collected 4/11/13.

^{***} All influent and effluent samples collected 07/30/13 except mercury collected 07/29/13.

- **** All influent and effluent samples collected 10/23/13 except mercury collected 10/22/13, and effluent cyanide and phenols collected 10/24/13.
- for Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purposes. Analytical MQLs must be met for the effluent (and SHOULD be met for the influent) so the data can also be used (1) It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant.
- ADEQ Pretreatment staff Excel spreadsheets or the Permittee's consultant with concurrence from Pretreatment staff. [Table & values provided by R. Torrence of ADEQ in a letter dated October 1, 2009.] (2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ criteria, EPA guidance and either
- and the concentration at which they were detected. (3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected
- MAHL Maximum Allowable Headworks Level / MAHC Maximum Allowable Headworks Concentration
- WQ "Water Quality Levels not to exceed" OR actual permit limit

In accordance with a letter from R. Torrence of ADEQ dated July 10, 2009, all values in the table above that are less than detection level are reported as zero.

					7			
Pinnacle Foods Corporation, 100 W 15 th St.	Marshalltown Company, 2200 Industrial Drive	Hiland Dairy Company, 301 E. 15 th St.	Elkhart Products Corporation, 3265 Hwy 71 S.	Custom Powder Coating Services, Inc, 1629 W. Farmington St.	Ayrshire Electronics, LLC, 1101 S. Beechwood Ave.	Industrial User Name	5	
2038/311412	3423/332212	2026/311511 2086/312111	3498/332996 3351/331420 3366/331529 3432/332913	3479/332812	3672/334418	SIC Code/ NAICS Code		
NA	40 CFR 433	NA	40 CFR 468	40 CFR 433	NA	Categorical Determination (40CFRXXX or N/A)		
×	×	4	У	~	У	Z S K	ם	
06/01/10 Reissued	12/01/08 Reissued	03/01/10 Reissued	09/01/08 Reissued	01/01/10 Reissued	04/01/13 Reissued as Non- SIU	Date & Last Action	Document	Control
No	No	No	No	No	No	New User or Newly ID		
-	<u>,1</u>	1	1	<u>, 1</u>	1	Times Inspected		
157/4	3/1	367/4	25/1	3/1	4/1	Times Sampled ² (SIU+POTW / POTW sampling)		
NA	NA	NA A	NA	NA	NA	BMR		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	90-Day Compli -ance	Re	Comp
С	С	С	С	С	С	Semi Annual	Reports	liance Sta
NC	С	NC	С	С	С	Self Monitor- ing		Compliance Status 1 (N/A, C, NC, or SNC)
С	С	NC	С	, c	С	Permit Limits		C, NC, or
May dates on cover letter of June monthly report.		Missed 2 daily pH analyses. One low pH.			Late report, but not an SIU at that point so not reported as NC here.	Nature of Violation (which limits & number of times)		SNC)

¹ NA = Not Applicable

C = Compliant: no violations in pretreatment year.

NC = Non-compliant: 1 or more violations in pretreatment year, but not SNC.

NC = Significant Noncompliance: as defined in 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2) and the Fayetteville Sewer Use Ordinance, and calculated on rolling quarters.

² Per Don Morgan, ADEQ, and David Long, EPA 2/1/2006, include self-monitoring in these data

Tyson Foods, Inc., 2615 S. School	Superior Industries International Arkansas, LLC, 1901 Borick Dr.	Industrial User Name
2038/311412 2099/31183	3714/336399	SIC Code/ NAICS Code
NA	40 CFR 433	Categorical Determination (40CFRXXX or N/A)
4	Y	Z S K
03/01/10 Reissued	11/01/08 Reissued 12/29/08 Transfer	Control Document Date & Last Action
No	No	New User or Newly
1	I	Times Inspected
369/4	43/1	Times Sampled ² (SIU+POTW / POTW sampling)
NA	NA	BMR
NA	NA	Comp Re 90-Day Compli -ance
С	С	Reports Semi Annual
С	NC	Compliance Status ¹ (N/A, C, NC, or SNC) Reports Day Semi Self Permit pli Annual Monitor- Limits Vio ce ing
С	NO	C, NC, or Permit Limits
	Missed 24-hr report twice. One of two required monthly Pb results missing for 3 months (corrupt commercial lab software). One daily lbs violation for Cr & Pb.	Nature of Violation (which limits & number of times)

PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

NOTE: All questions refer to the industrial pretreatment program as approved by ADEQ.

The Permittee should not answer the questions based on changes made to the approved program without Department authorization.

I. General Information

Control Authority:

City of Fayetteville

1400 N Fox Hunter Road

Fayetteville, AR 72701

Contact Person:

Denise Georgiou, IPC

(479) 443-3292

NPDES No.:

AR0020010

Reporting Period:

January 2013 - December 2013

Total Categorical IUs:

4

Total Significant Noncategorical IUs:

Total Non-Significant (yet permitted) IUs:

o (1

May 28, 2014

Date

Construction and Contract Manager

The following certification must be signed in order

I certify that the information contained herein is

complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

for this form to be considered complete:

Authorized Representative

	Significant In	ndustrial Users
II. Significant Industrial User Compliance	Categorical	Noncategorical
1) No. of SIUs submitting BMRs/No. Required	0 / 0 0 / 0 4 / 4 0 / 0 0 / 4	N/A N/A 4/4 0/0 0/4
III. Compliance Monitoring Program 1) No. of Control Documents Issued/No. Required	4 / 4 4 4 4 4	4/4 4 13 4 4
IV. Enforcement Actions 1) Compliance Schedules Issued/Schedules Required. 2) Notices of Violation Issued to SIUs. 3) Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs. 4) Civil Suits Filed. 5) Criminal Suits Filed. 6) Significant Violators (attach newspaper list). 7) Amount of Penalties Collected (total dollars/IUs assessed). 8) Other Actions (sewer bans, etc.).	0/0 3 0 0 0 0 \$0/0	0 / 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 / 0

Significant Violations - Enforcement Actions Taken

Penalties Compliance Schedule Collected Date Issued Date Due	le Current Status
--	-------------------

2013 Industrial Pretreatment Year

No interference, pass through, upset or POTW permit violations occurred that were known or suspected to be caused by industrial contributors and so no actions were taken.

There were no industrial users in significant noncompliance so newspaper publication was not necessary for the industrial pretreatment year.

MONITORING RESULTS FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT REPORTING YEAR: January 2013 TO December 2013 TREATMENT PLANT: City of Fayetteville West Side WWTP AVERAGE POTW FLOW: 6.6 MGD % IU FLOW: 0.0%

* All influent and effluent samples collected 01/17/13 except mercury collected 1/16/13.		Flow, MGD	Thallium	Beryllium	Phenols	Molybdenum	Arsenic	Cyanide	Chromium	Zinc	Silver	Selenium	Nickel	Метсшу	Lead	Copper	Cadmium	Antimony		(Total)	CYANIDE and PHENOLS	METAIS
effluent sa	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.83	N/A	200.0	100.0	18.72	1000.0	300.00	86.74	11.16	844.04	0.03	74.91	456.44	21.2	N/A		(2)	(Total)	MAHC
amples coli	5	4.76	0	0	46	0	0.67	0	0	310	0.92	0	5.5	0.037	0.88	27	0	0	01/17/13*	Date		1
lected 01/1		7.44	0	0	80	0	0.64	0	0	110	0	0	9.5	0.023	T ₂	28	0	0	04/10/13	Date	Once	NFLUENT D
7/13 except	19	3.93	0	0	88	0	1.0	0	0	160	1.5	0	5.9	0.041	2.5	47	0	0	07/16/13	Date	(µg/L) Once/quarter	INFLUENT DATES SAMPLED
t mercury co		5.35	0	0	130	0	1.2	0	0	120	0	0	5.8	0.034	1.4	28	0	0	10/28/13**	Date		LED
llected 1/16	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.91	N/A	N/A	342,39	5.80	1255.02	372.89	19.95	5.58	422.02	0.01	18.73	41.08	7	N/A	(t	(J)	level/ limit	WO
/13.		4.67	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	29	0	0	3.5	0	0	2.8	0	0	01/17/13*	Date		E
		8.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.6	0	0	3.8	0	0	04/10/13	Date	Once/	FELUENT DA
		3.70	0	0	18	0	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	3.0	0	0	2.7	0	0	07/16/13	Date	(µg/L) Once/quarter	EFFLUENT DATES SAMPLED
		4.24	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	3.3	0	0	1.9	0	0	10/28/13**	Date		ED
			0.5	0.5	5	ı	0.5	10	10	20	0,5	5	0.5	0.005	0.5	0.5	0.5	60	(1)	(μg/L)	EPA MQL	
	625		200.8	200.8	420.1	200.8	200.8	SM4500-CN C,E	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	245.7	200.8	200.8	200.8	200.8	(1)	Used	EPA	LABORATORY ANALYSIS
	10		0.2 & 0.5	0.5	5	8	0.5	5 & 10	10	20	0.5	5	0.5	0.0018 & 0.0050	0.5	0.5	0.5	60		Achieved (µg/L)	Detection Level	ALYSIS

^{**} All influent and effluent samples collected 10/28/13 except mercury collected 10/24/13, and influent cyanide and phenols collected 10/29/13.

⁽¹⁾ It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant.

for Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purposes. Analytical MQLs must be met for the effluent (and SHOULD be met for the influent) so the data can also be used

[Table & values provided by R. Torrence of ADEQ in a letter dated October 1, 2009.] ADEQ Pretreatment staff Excel spreadsheets or the Permittee's consultant with concurrence from Pretreatment staff. (2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ criteria, EPA guidance and either

and the concentration at which they were detected. (3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected

MAHL - Maximum Allowable Headworks Level / MAHC - Maximum Allowable Headworks Concentration

WQ - "Water Quality Levels not to exceed" OR actual permit limit.

In accordance with a letter from R. Torrence of ADEQ dated July 10, 2009, all values in the table above that are less than detection level are reported as zero.

	No Significant Industrial Users	Industrial User Name	
		SIC Code/ NAICS Code	
		Categorical Determination (40CFRXXX or N/A)	
		Z S K	
		Document Last Action	Control
		New User or Newly ID	
		Times Inspected	
		Times Sampled ² (SIU+POTW / POTW sampling)	
		¤ ₹ B	
		90-Da; Compl -ance	Compi
		Reports y Semi i Annual	liance Stat
		Self Monitor -ing	Compliance Status 1 (N/A, C, NC, or SNC)
		Permit Limits	C, NC, or !
		Nature of Violation (which limits & number of times)	SNC)

¹ NA = Not Applicable
C = Compliant: no violations in pretreatment year.
NC = Non-compliant: 1 or more violations in pretreatment year, but not SNC.
SNC = Significant Noncompliance: as defined in 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2) and the Fayetteville Sewer Use Ordinance, and calculated on rolling quarters.

² Per Don Morgan, ADEQ, and David Long, EPA 2/1/2006, include self-monitoring in these data

PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

NOTE: All questions refer to the industrial pretreatment program as approved by ADEQ.

The Permittee should not answer the questions based on changes made to the approved program without Department authorization.

I. General Information

Control Authority:

City of Fayetteville

1400 N Fox Hunter Road

Fayetteville, AR 72701

Contact Person:

Denise Georgiou, IPC

(479) 443-3292

NPDES No.:

AR0050288

Reporting Period:

January 2013 - December 2013

Total Categorical IUs:

Total Significant Noncategorical IUs:

Total Non-Significant (yet permitted) IUs:

The following certification must be signed in order for this form to be considered complete:

I certify that the information contained herein is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

May 28, 2014

Date

Construction and Contract Manager

Authorized Representative

	Significant I	ndustrial Users
II. Significant Industrial User Compliance	Categorical	Noncategorical
1) No. of SIUs submitting BMRs/No. Required	0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0	N/A N/A 0/0 0/0 0/0
III. Compliance Monitoring Program 1) No. of Control Documents Issued/No. Required	0 / 0 0 0 0 0	0 / 0 0 0 0 0
IV. Enforcement Actions 1) Compliance Schedules Issued/Schedules Required. 2) Notices of Violation Issued to SIUs. 3) Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs. 4) Civil Suits Filed. 5) Criminal Suits Filed. 6) Significant Violators (attach newspaper list). 7) Amount of Penalties Collected (total dollars/IUs assessed). 8) Other Actions (sewer bans, etc.).	0 / 0 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 / 0	0 / 0 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 / 0

Significant Violations - Enforcement Actions Taken

Nature of Violation Number of Actions Taken Penalties	r of Actions Taken	Penalties	Compliance Schedule	chedule	Current	
Industrial Reports Limits N.O.V. A.O. Civil Criminal Other Collected	Civil Criminal (Date Issued Date Due	Date Due	Status	Status Comments
No industrial users with significan t						

2013 Industrial Pretreatment Year

No interference, pass through, upset or POTW permit violations occurred that were known or suspected to be caused by industrial contributors and so no actions were taken.

There were no industrial users in significant noncompliance so newspaper publication was not necessary for the industrial pretreatment year.

MONITORING RESULTS FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT

REPORTING YEAR: _____, 20 TO _____, 20
TREATMENT PLANT: City of _____ NPDES PERMIT #AR00
AVERAGE POTW FLOW: MGD % IU FLOW: %

METALS,	МАНС	1		ATES SAMPLE	ED	WQ	E	FFLUENT DA	.TES SAMPLI	ED	LAI	BORATORY AN	JALYSIS
CYANIDE and PHENOLS	(Total) (μg/l) (2)		Once	/quarter		level/ limit (μg/l) (2)		Once/	quarter		EPA MQL	EPA Method	Detection Level
	, ,	Date	Date	Date	Date	(2)	Date	Date	Date	Date	(μg/l) (1)	Used (1)	Achieved (μg/l)
Antimony	N/A					N/A					60		
Cadmium											0.5		
Copper											0.5		
Lead											0.5		
Mercury											.005		
Nickel											0.5		
Selenium											5		
Silver											0.5		
Zinc											20		
Chromium											10		
Cyanide											10		
Arsenic											0.5		
Molybdenum						N/A							
Phenols	N/A					N/A					5		
Beryllium											0.5		
Thallium	N/A					N/A					0.5		
Flow, MGD	N/A					N/A							
(3)	1 1/12					24/22							

- (1) It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant. Analytical MQLs must be met for the effluent (and SHOULD be met for the influent) so the data can also be used for Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purposes.
- (2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ criteria, EPA guidance and either ADEQ Pretreatment staff Excel spreadsheets or the Permittee's consultant with concurrence from Pretreatment staff.
- (3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected and the concentration at which they were detected.
- MAHL Maximum Allowable Headworks Level / MAHC Maximum Allowable Headworks Concentration
- WQ "Water Quality Levels not to exceed" OR actual permit limit.

ATTACHMENT A

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM STATUS REPORT UPDATED SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS LIST

Industrial User Name	SIC/NAICS Code	40 CFR XXX or N/A	Cor. Doc	ntrol ument	New User	Times Inspected	Times Sampled	Compli	iance Status ((N/A, C,	NC, or SNC)	Permit Limits (denote parameter violated
		Of N/A	Y/N	Last Action				BMR	90-day Compliance	Semi Annual	Self Monitoring	& number of times)

Please footnote N/A reason

ATTACHMENT B SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS - ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN

Industrial User	Nature Violat	of ion		Numbe	r of Act:	ion Taken		Penalties	Compli Sched	ance lule	Current	Comments
Name	Reports	Limits	N.O.V.	A.O.	Civil	Criminal	Other	Collected	Date Issued	Date Due	Status	Commerces

ATTACHMENT C

PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY (PPS)

NOTE: ALL QUESTIONS REFER TO THE INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM <u>AS APPROVED</u> BY ADEQ. THE PERMITTEE SHOULD NOT ANSWER THE QUESTIONS BASED ON CHANGES MADE TO THE APPROVED PROGRAM WITHOUT DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZATION.

I. <u>General Information</u>

Cont	trol Authority Name			
Addı	ress			
City	y State	/Zip		
Cont	tact Person Position			
Cont	tact TelephoneN	PDES Permit Nos	3	
Repo	orting Period			
	(Beginning Month,	day and Year)	(Ending M	onth, day and Year)
Tota	al Number of Categorical IUs _			
Tota	al Number of Significant Nonca	tegorical IUs _		<u> </u>
Tota	al Number of Non-Significant (yet permitted)	IUs	<u> </u>
	II. Significant	Industrial Use	er Compliance	
				NDUSTRIAL USERS NonCategorical
1)	No. of SIUs Submitting BMRs/Tensor Required		/	N/A*
2)	No. of SIUs Submitting 90-Day Reports/No. Required		/	N/A*
3)	No. of SIUs Submitting Semian: Total No. Required		/	/
4)	No. of SIUs Meeting Compliance Total No. Required to Meet		/_	/
5)	No. of SIUs in Significant No. Total No. of SIUs		/_	/
6)	Rate of Significant Noncompliant SIUs (categorical and noncompliant significant significan			

III. Compliance Monitoring Program

			Categorical	NonCategorical				
1)		Control Documents Issued/Total No. ed	/	/				
2)	No. of	Nonsampling Inspections Conducted	/	/				
3)	No. of	Sampling Visits Conducted		/				
4)	No. of	Facilities Inspected (nonsampling) .	/	/				
5)	No. of	Facilities Sampled		/				
IV. <u>Enforcement Actions</u>								
			SIGNIFICANT Categorical	INDUSTRIAL USERS NonCategorical				
1)		Compliance Schedules Issued/No. edules Required	/	/				
2)	No. of	Notices of Violations Issued to SIUs						
3)	No. of	Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs						
4)	No. of	Civil Suits Filed						
5)	No. of	Criminal Suits Filed						
6)		Significant Violators (attach per publication)						
7)		of Penalties (not surcharges) ted (total dollars/IUs assessed)	/	/				
8)	Other 2	Actions (sewer bans, etc.)						
The following certification must be signed in order for this form to be considered complete:								
	ertify my know	that the information contained herein ledge.	is complete ar	nd accurate to the best				
		_						
		Authorized Representative	Date					

Date _____